

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/590,216 Examiner Nathan Danielsen	Applicant(s) MATSUSHITA ET AL. Art Unit 2627
---	---	---

All Participants:

(1) Nathan Danielsen

(2) James Ledbetter

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending, after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 8:55 PM EDT

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Found in Office actions mailed 18 December 2008 and 09 June 2009.

Claims discussed:
5 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:
US Patent Application Publication 2002/0067687

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance on 05 June 2009, which applicant's representative confirmed on 10 June 2009.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)